G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1928-20.

(P.P)

FILE NO.

Serial Nos. 311, 312, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 350 & 384

Later references.

PP 25-20 (1-9) dd (38)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. None

Part B for July 1920

SUBJECT.

Food Supply arrangements.

(Statement of Cases Submitted for sanction of the District Magistrate during the Quarter ending 31st March 1920).

LL 3824)'20. The District Magistrate of Dist & Food Controller in Mysore

No G 28337-45-Dy 28.6.20.

Records Quarterly statements received from the Dist Magistrates of Dists.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

G. R. S. III—1] 1919

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(PP)

NOTES.

FILE HEADING

Food Supply arrangements.
(Statement of Cases submitted for Sanction of Dt Magistrates during the quarter ending 31. 3. 20)

From The Dy Com~~r~~ District Magistrate Hassan. Mysore.

No. 245. Dated 8/4/20. Received

Diary No. 17584. Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. Serial No. 312

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the Statement of Cases Submitted for Sanction for prosecution under the Rules issued under the defence of India Regulations for the Quarter ending 31st March 1920.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

From the Dy Com~~r~~ Hassan.
No 1785 of 13.4.20.
Submits the Statement called for in Sl 286, for the Quarter ending 31st March 1920.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P File No. 6 of 1919.

Serial No.	
334	From the Dt Magt Chitaldrug No 9·3 dt 15·4·1920 Submits a Statement of food cases called for in Sl 286
335	From the Dt Magt Bangalore Dt No D2 Dis 193 dt 14·4·20 Fds the Quarterly return of Cases called for in Sl 286
336	From Dt Magt Kolar Dist No Pd 83 dt 25·4·20 Submits the Quarterly Statment of Food Cases Called for in Sl 286
337	From the Dt Magt Shimoga No S P R. 49 (Jud) dt 23·4·20 Fds the Statement of Cases Submitted for Sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation Called for in Sl 286
388	From the Dt Magt Kadur No 53 dt 24·4·20 Fds the Statement called for in Sl 286
350	From the Dist Magt ~~Bangalore~~ Kunigal No ~~G~~ 515 dt 7·5·1920 Fds the Statement called for in Sl 286

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. P. File No. 6 of 1919.

Serial No.

A consolidated statement is put up.

As this return was prescribed at the instance of the Food Controller - vide his letter No 4142 & 2-3-20, the file may be sent to that office for remarks

15/5/20 Chief Secy

MK 15/5/20

yes
cons
21/5
MK
21/5

Forwarded with Compliments to the Food Controller in reply to his demi-official No. 227 dated 22/5/20 for favour of remarks

Boyd 22/5/20

FOOD SUPPLIES IN MYSORE.
DIRECTOR OF CIVIL SUPPLIES
Recd. MAY 22 1920
No. 9363
Enclosures if any

No 5852
Public

MKennedy
Chief Secy to Govt

Returned with compliments. The statements may I think be recast. It would have been well if the Dt Magts had included in these statements, being the first of this kind, the no of cases (applications for sanction) pending from the previous quarter. The number of cases in which sanction was refused is kept in the Shimoga Dt.

Recd 23/5
Dt Magt
S.
MAY 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

P. P. File No. 6 of 1919

1908

Serial No.

none cases are sent & have been rejected for want of evidence. The Police surely must have had some evidence. We do not see whether the Dt. Magistrate required to weigh the Evidence before according sanction. That is the province of the trying Magistrate.

Enclosed

22/5/20

Submitted

Mg 3/6/20 Put up draft recording with observations noted above in P.C's note

Draft submitted

Mg 7/6/20 The responsibility of sanction, I think, in view also of the Evidence produced & it would be inexpedient not to allow the Dt. Magte to Exercise this Scrutiny over the cases put up by the Police

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 9 1920
Reg. No. 8084

Chief Secy) Before according sanction the Dt. Magte has to see that there is a prima facie case.

Dewan 7/6

Chief Secy 9/6

Note—Contn. sheet.

Submitted. Was the draft ever issued.

Mg 25/6

Dewan 25/6

Chief Secy 23.6.20.

Chief Secy 25/6

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PD File No. 6 of 1919.

Serial No. 384 Jo

The District Magistrates of Districts

Food Controller

No G 28384-45 d 28/6/20.

Records quarterly Statements need

by the District magistrates of Districts



No. Pers 245 Enclosure 1
J19-20

DIARY NO. 17584

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 8th April 1920.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire, I.C.S.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

C.C. Balasundaram Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith quarterly statement of cases submitted to the District Magistrate, of the Mysore District for sanction for prosecution under the Rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918 for the quarter ending 31st March 1920, as called for in your official Memorandum No. G.21870-80/F.F.6-19-286 dated 23rd March 1920.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P.F. Bowring

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

16	do	do	do	13-3-1920	being small.	
17	do	Chamarajanagar Taluk	14-3-1920	do		Sanction was refused on 1-4-1920, the quantity exported being very small.
18	do	do	do	do		
19	do	do	do	do		
20	do	do	do	do		
21	do	do	do	do		
22	do	do	do	do		
23	do	do	do	do		
24	do	Hunsur Taluk	22-3-1920	do	24-3-1920	
25	do	do	do	do	do	
26	do	Chamarajanagar Taluk	do	do		Sanction was refused on 1-4-1920, the quantity exported being very small.
27	do	do	do	do		
28	do	do	do	do		
29	do	Hunsur Taluk	31-3-1920	do		
30	do	Yedlandur Taluk	do	do		orders not yet passed.

Dated - 8-4-1920
Mysore

R. H. Balakrishna
First District Magistrate
Mysore District

Quarterly Statement of cases submitted to the district Magistrate of the Mysore District for sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation, I of 1918, for the Quarter ending 31st March 192

Serial No	Officer applying for sanction	Date of receipt of application	Nature of charge	Date of Sanction	If sanction was refused, reasons therefor	Remarks.
1	Police Inspector of Hunsur Taluk	5-1-1920	Attempting to export without permit controlled food stuffs.		Sanction was refused on 12-1-1920 owing to the quantity exported being small	
2	do	do	do		do	
3	do	20-1-1920	do	20-1-1920		
4	do	23-1-1920	do	26-1-1920		
5	do Chamarajanagar Taluk	do	do	27-1-1920		
6	do	27-1-1920	do	28-1-1920		
7	do	do	do	do		
8	do	do	do	do		
9	do	do	do	do		
10	do	do	do	do		
11	do Hunsur Taluk	do	do	do		
12	do Chamarajanagar Taluk	11-2-1920	do	21-2-1920		
13	do Hunsur Taluk	4-3-1920	do	6-3-1920		
14	do					

27983

CHIEF SECRETARY'S POLITICAL BRANCH. 15 APR. 1920

REC. & REF. 6/20

15 APR 1920

NO. 1788

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

HASSAN DISTRICT.

Hassan, dated the 13th April 1920.

DIARY NO. 17826.

19 -19

SECTION. 500

FILE NO. 6-19

NO. 312

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government Of

His Highness the Maharaja Of Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a State--ment of cases submitted to the District Magistrate for sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation for the quarter ending 31st March 1920, as required in O.M.NO G.21870--80/ P.P. 6-19-286 dated 23rd March 1920.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

For DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

HASSAN DISTRICT

13	20·3·20	"	Nil	21·3·20
14	20·3·20	"	Horsegram	21·3·20
15	23·3·20	"	Horsegram	26·3·20

Dated Hassan
13th April 1920

No. 13/4/20
Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

Statement of Cases submitted to the District Magistrate, for sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation for the quarter ending 31st March 20.

Serial No.	Officer applying for sanction	Date of receipt of application	Nature of charge	Date of Sanction	If Sanction is refused reasons therefor
1	District Superintendent of Police, Hassan	4.2.20	Transporting rice without license outside the State	4.2.20	
2	"	4.2.20	" Rice and paddy	4.2.20	
3	"	4.2.20	" Horsegram	4.2.20	
4	"	25.1.20	" paddy	4.2.20	
5	"	27.2.20	" paddy	1.3.20	
6	"	27.2.20	" Ragi	1.3.20	
7	"	25.2.20	" paddy	1.3.20	
8	"	5.3.20	" Ragi	8.3.20	
9	"	11.3.20	" Ragi & Horsegram	17.3.20	
10	"	11.3.20	" Ragi	17.3.20	
11	"	11.3.20	" puri	17.3.20	
12	"	15.3.20	" Rice	17.3.20	

DIARY NO. 17958

10

19 -19	
SECTION	
FILE NO.	6-19
SERIAL NO.	324

Office of the District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 14th April 1920.

From

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran Esquire B.A.,
District Magistrate,
C H I T A L D R U G.

To

C. S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

As laid down in Government order No.21870-80/PP.6-1 286 dated the 23rd March 1920, I have the honor to submit herewit statement of food cases submitted for sanction to prosecute u er Rule 7 of Defence of India Regulation and rules framed thereu er for the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

I have the honor to be
S I R,
Your most obedient servan

District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

20.61

1919-20

From The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 1st } April 1920.
Despatched }

Received

General No. Secy. 7-3 of 19-20.
No.

Enclosure No 1

Submitting a statement
of Ford Cases Submitted for
Sanction to prosecute under
Rule 7 of Defence of India
Regulation & Rules framed
thereunder for the quarter
ending 31st March 1920.

Statement showing the cases
submitted for Sanction of the
Addl. Chief Magistrate Cum Addl Secy
under the Rules framed under
the Reserve of India Regulations
for the Quarter ending 31st March 20

Police	do	do		
Police	do	do		Further proceedings stayed in view of the receipt of the Govt order cancelling trial & withdrawal of the above
do	do	Export of rubber from the State	2-1-1920	
do	do	Transport via Mettupalayam		
do	do	Export of rubber from the State		
do	do	Transport via Mettupalayam		Further proceedings dropped in view of the receipt of the Govt order releasing the accused

Statement showing cases transferred to the Municipal Magistrate of Chembur for disposal for prosecution under the Rules issued under the Provisions of Section 21 of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act 1947

Name of Officer reporting for prosecution	Name of Person reported against	Name of Firm	Nature of Offence
Dy. Director of Enforcement	Shri Ramesh Kumar Agarwal	M/s. Ramesh Kumar Agarwal	Non-surrender of foreign exchange
Do	Shri Ramesh Kumar Agarwal	Do

6.1.20	Express received from the State	6.1.1920	
1.1.1920	Do		
Do	Do		
Do			
20.1.20	Transport vehicle Miles Bell-		Proceedings dropped as the accused were unable to remove the grain from Jagalur Chowdee to Jagalur town would be more the grain in question hence to their Village after obtaining a license
25.1.20	Express received from the State	27.1.20	
29.1.20	Transport		Proceedings dropped the receipt of the Express relaxing the restriction
2.2.20	Express received from the State	4.2.20	

26	Jaqaleer Police	3.3.20	Expert subside the State	10.3.20
27	,,	,,	,,	
28	,,	,,	,,	,,
29	,,	,,	,,	,,
3	,,	,,	,,	,,
3	,,	11.3.20	,,	,,
3	,,	12.3.20	,,	17.3.20
	,,	12.3.20	,,	,,

DIARY NO. 18080
6-19
335

Office of the District Magistrate
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore 17th April 1920.

From

G.Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.G.21870-80/F.F.6-9-286 dated the 23rd March 1920, I have the honor to forward herewith the quarterly return of cases submitted to this Court for sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918 during the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

G. Aravamudu Iyengar

District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

	Do		17.1.20.	Do 2 bags of broken rice - 2 bags of Bengal gram - Do -	3.2.20.
	Do		17.1.20.	Do 63 bags of rice - Do -	3.2.20.
5.	Do		19.1.20.	Do 46 bags of ragi - Do	22-1-20.
6.	Do		21.1.20.	Do 4 bags of ragi - Do -	3.2.20.
7.	Do		22-1-20.	Do 7 bags of ragi - Do.	3.2.20
8.	Do		13.2.20.	Do - 110 seers of paddy + 35 seers of ragi - Do	16.3.20.
9.	Do		23.2.20.	Do 41 seers of ragi - Do.	16.3.20.
10.	Do			Do 20 bags of puri - Do -	16.3.20.

Delay of mine
Have one month
made vide No 8

District Magistrate
Bangalore District

Quarterly Statement of Cases submitted to the District Magistrate, Bangalore District, for sanction for prosecution under the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918 for the quarter ending 31st March 1920:-

Officer applying for sanction.	Date of receipt of application.	Nature of charge.	Date of sanction.	If sanction is refused reasons therefor.
Inspector of Police Hoskote	17.1.20	Transporting 9 bags of ragi being the controlled food stuff from the Mysore State to British India without a license under section 6 of the rules issued under the defence of India Regulation.	3.2.20	

SECRET
POLITICAL BRANCH
26 APR. 1920

Pol Dept 8-3

Office of the District Magistrate, Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the 25th April 1920.

Ch Secty
26 APR 1920

DIARY NO. 8396

19 -19
SECTION
FILE NO 6-19
396

From

28953 Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B.A.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to official Memorandum No: G.21870 80 F.P.6-19-286 dated 23rd ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith the quarterly statement of cases submitted for sanction to prosecute under the Rules framed under the Defence of India Regulation for the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For District Magistrate,
Kolar District.
(absent from station.)

Quarterly Statement of cases submitted to District magistrate of Kolar District for Sanction for prosecution under the Rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918.

For the quarter ending 31st March 1920

Serial No.	Officer applying for Sanction	Date of receipt of application	Nature of charge	Date of Sanction	If sanction is refused, reasons therefor
1	2	3		5	
1.	Superintendent of Police Kolar	20.12.19	Export of Ragi beyond the State without a permit	8.1.20	
2	Do — K.G.F.	8.1.20	Do — broken rice — Do —	Do	
3	Do —	Do —	Do — Do — Do —	Do —	
4	Do —	Do —	Do — Rice & broken rice — Do —	Do —	
5	Superintendent of Police Kolar	5-1-20	Export of ragi beyond the state without a permit	11-1-20	
6	Superintendent of Police, Kolar	10.1.20	Export of paddy beyond the State without a permit	12.1.20	
7	Do — Kolar Gold Fields	11.1.20	Export of Ragi — Do — Do —	11.1.20	
8	Do — Kolar	18.1.20	Export of Burma Rice — Do —	20.1.20	
9	Do	20.1.20	Export of paddy — Do — Do —	23.1.20	

Kolar district.

Quarterly Statement of
Food Prosecutions
Sanctioned
during the quarter
ending 31-3-20

10.	Do —	23.1.20.	Export of paddy & rage	Do —	23.1.20.
11	Do —	3.2.20	Export of Jolam	Do —	4.2.20
12	Do —	Do —	Do Horlegram	Do —	Do —
13	Do —	4.2.20	Do rice	Do —	5.2.20
14	Superintendent of Police Kolar Gold Fields.	6.2.20	Do broken rice	Do —	11.2.20
15	Do —	Do —	Do rice & broken rice	Do —	Do —
16	Do —	Do —	Do broken rice,	Do —	Do —
17	Do —	Do —	Do rice & broken rice	Do —	Do —
18	Superintendent of Police Kolar	Do —	Do paddy	Do —	Do —
19	Do —	10.2.20	Do rage	Do —	19.2.20.
20.	Do —	Do —	Do rage	Do —	Do —
21	Superintendent of Police K.G.F	20.2.20	Do broken rice by train	Do —	20.2.20.
22	Superintendent of Police, Kolar,	21.2.20	Attempting to export Rage	Do —	24.2.20
23	Do —	24.2.20	Export of paddy	Do —	28.2.20
24	Do —	24.2.20	Export of Jolam & Rice	Do —	1.3.20
25	Do —	28.2.20	Do Rage	Do —	1.3.20
26	Do —	4.3.20	Do Rage and Harikadle	Do —	6.3.20.

27.	Superintendent of Police, Kolar	9.3.20.	Export of Ragi & paddy from district to district without a license from the Deputy Commissioner — (i.e. to Madagere, Tumkur District)			11-3-20.
28	do —	9.3.20.	Export of Jolam to a village within 2 miles from British territory —			11.3.20.
29	Superintendent of Police K.G.F	12.3.20.	Export of paddy boiled to a place beyond the State without a license —			12.3.20.
30.	do — do —	do	Export of rice beyond the State without a permit			do —
31	Superintendent of Police Kolar	20.3.20.	do	paddy	do	29.3.20.
32	do —	do —	do	Hoppe-gram	do	22.3.20.
33	do —	do —	do	paddy	do	22.3.20.
34	do —	do —	do	ragi flour & horse gram	do	22.3.20.

Jr District Magistrate

Rms 24.4.20

DIARY NO.....

No:- P.R.49(Gen.) 19-20

Office of the District Magistrate Shimoga.

Dated Shimoga 21st April 20

DD 6-9 337

From

S. Hiriannaiya Esq. M.A.B.L.
District Magistrate.
Shimoga.

To

S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B.A.
Chief Secretary to Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Statement of Cases Submitted for Sanction for prosecution under rule 7 of the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation in the Shimoga District for the quarter ending 31st March 192

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

District Magistrate.
Shimoga.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH
26 APR 1920

Ch Secy
26 APR 19

28959

PH
26/4/20

	Do	10 / 7.3.20	17.3.20	—do— 12 Pallas of Paddy			for want of evidence	
	Do	19 / 4.2.20	17.3.20				For want of evidence	2.4.20
	Do	21 / 4.2.20	20.2.20			5.4.20		
	Do	22 / 4.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 8 bags of Paddy to Sirsi			The removal of Paddy having been detected only when the carts returned from Sirsi. Hence no prosecution was ordered as such a case would not Stand in Court of law	13.3.20
9	Do	23 / 9.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 10 bags to Banavasi	13.3.20			
10	Do	24 / 9.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 330 Seers of rice Ammur Village	13.3.20		Rice disposed of on 8.4.20	
11	Do	25 / 10.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 364 Seers of rice to Chikerur	13.3.20			
12	Do	26 / 11.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 3 bags of Paddy to Banavasi	13.3.20			

Statement Showing Cases Submitted for Sanction to prosecute under Rule 7 of the rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918. to the District Magistrate Shimoga - for the quarter ending 31st March 1920 (vide Go. No: G. 21870-80 PP. 6-9-28 Dt 29.3.20

No.	From whom received	Date of receipt of Application	Nature of Charge	Date of Sanction	If Sanction is — refused reason therefor	Date
	2	3	4	5		
1	Amildar Sorab 12 / 19.3.20	24.3.20	For the export of 43 Seers of Paddy	31.3.20		
2	Do. 15 / 7.3.20	17.3.20			For want of evidence	2.4.20
3	Do. 16 / 7.3.20	17.3.20	— do — 7 bags of Avalakki & 4 bags of rice	31.3.20		
4	Do. 17	17.3.20		2.4.20		

13	Do.	27 11.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 50½ Seers of Paddy to Sivsi	13.3.20		
14	Do	28 11.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 5 bags of rice & 3 bags of Mandakki to Chikkur	13.3.20		
15	Do	29 11.2.20	20.2.20	—do— 6 Seers of Avalakki		Prosecution not necessary as the quantity was too small	18.4.20
16	Do	30 7.3.20	10.3.20	—do— 164 Seers of rice	5.4.20		
17	Do.	31 7.3.20	10.3.20	—do— 50 Seers of rice		As the quantity was too small Sanction was not granted	31.3.20
18	Do	32 16.2.20	10.3.20	425 Seers of rice & 64 Seers of beaten rice	2.4.20		
19	Do	33 7.3.20	10.3.20	640 Seers of Avalakki & 20 Seers of rice	2.4.20		
20	Do	34 20.3.20	24.3.20	48 Seers of rice		Prosecution not necessary as the quantity was too small	31.3.20
21	Do.	35 18.3.20	24.3.20	31 Seers of ~~Paddy~~ rice	2.4.20	as the quantity was too small Sanction for prosecution was not given	31.3.20
22	Do	37 19.3.20	24.3.20	320 Seers of Paddy	2.4.20		
23	Do	38 17.3.20	24.3.20	9 Candies of Paddy		For want of evidence	5.4.20
24	Do	39 11.3.20	24.3.20	9 Candies of Paddy		Prosecution was not ordered as the removal was from the field to Houseyard	31.3.20
25	Do	40 7.3.20	24.3.20	202 Seers of rice	5.4.20		
26	Do	41 7.3.20	"	Paddy	20.3.20		

27.	Amr. Sorab.	42 / 7·3·20	10·3·20	Paddy and rice	5·4·20	
28	Do.	43 / 7·3·20	10-3-20	15 Gidnas of Paddy & 21 Gidnas of Horsegram	5·4·20	
29	Do.	44 / 7·3·20	"	216 Seers of rice	2·4·20	
30	Do.	45 / 7·3·20	"			Prosecution was not ordered for want of evidence 20·3·20
31.	Do.	46 / 7·3·20	"	15 Seers of rice		Prosecution was not ordered as the quantity was very small 5·4·20
32.	Do	47 / 9·3·20	12-3-20	3 Pallas & 77 Seers of Paddy	5·4·20	
33	Do.	48 / 9·3·20	12-3-20	123 Seers of beaten rice	2·4·20	
34	Do.	50 / 17·3·20	24·3·20	350 Seers of Paddy.	31·3·20	
35	Do.	51 / 17·3·20	"	58 Seers of rice	3·4·20	
36	Do.	52 / 17·3·20	"	252 Seers of Paddy	19·4·20	
37	Do.	53 / 17·3·20	"	25 Seers of Horsegram		Prosecution was not Necessary as the quantity was very small 5·4·20
38.	Do	54 / 19·3·20	"	470 Seers of Mullet Bhatta	2·4·20	
39	Do.	55 / 20·3·20	"	5 Candies of Paddy ~~out~~		Warned as per Amildar recommendation 3·4·20
40	Do.	56 / 20·3·20	"	For Removal of ~~Horsegram~~ Paddy without Licence ~~23·3·20~~		Party warned. Prosecution was not ordered as recommended by Amildar 3·4·20

41	Avildar Nemasli	23.2.20	For removal of food grains without license	13.3.20			
42	Do	576 / 20.2.20	do	For removal of Jola flour		Sanction was not granted for want of evidence	13.3.20
43	Do	575 / 20.2.20	do	—do— food grains		—do—	13.3.20
44	Do	602 / 18.2.20	21.2.20	25 Seers of rice		Sanction was not granted as the quantity was too small	13.3.20
45	Do	612 / 27.2.20	2.3.20	32 Seers of rice & 9 Seers of Horsegram		Prose not necessary as the quantity was small.	13.4.20
46	Avildar Sagar	647 / 19.3.20	22.3.20	693 Seers of Paddy	13.3.20		
47	Do	433/17.2.20 4/1.3.20	4.3.20	For removal of food grains	23.3.20		
48	Do	1374 / 8.3.20	11.3.20	—do—	13.3.20		
49	Avildar Shikarpur	21/11.2.20	14.2.20	9 Seers of Horsegram & 12 Seers of rice		Not necessary as the Quantity was too small	13.3.20
50	Do	22 / 11.3.20	12.3.20	6 Persons Carrying 101½ Seers of rice		—do—	13.3.20
51	Do	23 / 24.2.20	27.2.20	598 Seers of rice	13.3.20		
52	Do	24 / 24.2.20	"	53 Seers of Paddy	13.3.20		
53	Do	27 / 9.3.20	12.3.20	147 Seers of rice		For want of evidence prose was not ordered	5.4.20
	Nagar.			—= Nil =—			

Tirthahalli	Nil —
Kumsi	Nil —
Shimoga.	Nil -
Chennagiri	— Nil

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga.

Quarterly Statement of cases Submitted to District
by Execution under the Rules Issued under the

28955 No 5-3
26 APR 1920 POLITICAL BRANCH
CHIEF SECY
6 APR 1920 Chief Secy Govt
P.P. Govt

Serial number	Officer applying for Sanction	Date of Receipt of application	Nature of Ca
1.	Amildar magistrate mudgere	5th Jan 1920	Export of 8 Cart
2	Do	11th Feb 1920	Export of 2 Cart
3	Do	Do	Export of 1 Cart le
4	Do	22nd Feb 1920	Attempting to
5	Do	2nd march 1920	Carrying of 4
			in 46 Carts thro
6.	Do	15th march 1920	Export of 130

Dated, Chikmagalur
the 24th April 1920

Office of the District Magistrate

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 6/7th May 1920.

PP
6-19
350

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

District Magistrate, Tumkur District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to official memorandum No.G.21870-80/P.P. 6-19-286 dated 23rd March 1920, requesting submission of a quarterly return of cases under the Defence of India Regulation, I have the honour to forward herewith, in the form required, a statement of cases submitted to the District Magistrate, Tumkur, for sanction to prosecute under Rule 7 of the Rules issued under the Defence of India Regulation I of 1918.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ananda Rao Sirsi

District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

19 19-20.

From

The District Magistrate,

Tumkur District,

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE

Dated

Tumkur, the 6/7th May 1920.

Despatched 7-5-1920

Received

General No. C 10 575/19-20

No.

Enclosure No. nil

Forwarding a statement showing the detail of food cases reported in the Tumkur District during the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

*****

18	Do	8-2-20	do	660 Seers of Ragi	2-3-20
19	Do	1-3-20	do	384 Seers of paddy	Pending order with Dist magte
20	Do	6-3-20	do	360 Seers of Ragi	- do -
21	Do	11-3-20	Do	83 " Horse gram	- Do -
22	Do	18-3-20	Do	640 " of paddy	- Do -
23	Sirdar Jq Amildar	21-1-20	do	8 gallas 1/2 Seers of rice	31-1-20
24	Do	21-1-20	do	3 Cartloads of Ragi	31-1-20
25	do	3-3-20	Do	76 Seers of H Gram	warned 3/4/20
26	do	19-2-20	Do	446 Seers of rice	do 3/4/20
27	Do	"	do	220 Seers of Ragi	Pending order with Dist magte

Sd/ M.A.K
D.S.P.

Chitradurga
District Magistrate

Statement showing the detail of food cases reported in the Dumkur District during the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

No	Officer applying for Sanction	Date of receipt of application	Nature of charge	Date of Sanction of prosecution	If Sanction is refused reasons therefor
1	Pavagada Amildar	9-1-20	Illicit export of 115 Seers of paddy	23-1-1920	
2	Do	15-1-20	Do 560 Seers of Ragi	Pending order with Dist. magt.	
3	Do	12-2-20	Do 500 " Ragi	2-3-20	
4	Do	8-3-20	Do 541 " Gram.	Pending order with Dist. magt.	
5	Do	19-3-20	Do 400 " Paddy	- Do -	
6.	Do	20-3-20	Do 285 " Gram	- Do	
7	Do	20-3-20	Do 995 " Ragi.	- Do	
8	Do	23-3-20	Do 150 " Gram.	- Do	
9	Do	23-3-20	Do 400 " Paddy	- Do	
10	Do	23-3-20	Do 156 " Gram	- Do	
11	Maddagiri	21-1-20	Do 7½ Pallas Jt. Gram		
12	Do	11-2-20	Do 292½ Seers of Jolam	31-1-20	
13	Do	17-1-20	Do 5 bags of paddy	14-2-20	
14	Do	17-1-20	Do 2 bags of Jt. Gram	14-2-20	
15	Do	17-1-20	Do 5 bags of paddy	14-2-20	
16	Do	22-1-20	Do 2 bags of paddy	14-2-20	

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No., of letter replied to.....

Section GC
File No. 6-19
Serial No. 384

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* *191*

Date of issue..... 28/6

Signed by.....

Read— Quarterly statements received from the Superintendents of Police of cases submitted to them for sanction for prosecution under the Rules issued under the Defence of India Regn II of 1918 for the quarter ending 31st

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Cor 28337-43

Recorded

Consolidated statement of cases submitted to District Magistrates for sanction for prosecution under the Defence of India Rules for the quarter ending 31st March 1920.

District.	Total No. of applications for sanction.	No. of cases in which sanction was given.	No. in which sanction was refused.	No. of cases pending.	Remarks as to reasons for declining sanction
Tumkur	27	10	2	15	The oldest application pending ~~is dated~~ was received on 15-1-1920. No reasons have been assigned for refusing sanction
Kadur.	6	5	1		For want of sufficient grounds for the prosecution
Shimoga.	53	31	22		9 cases were rejected for want of evidence. In 10 cases sanction was not given as the quantity imported was small. In 2 cases the parties were warned as recommended by the Amildar. The reasons assigned by the Amildar for his recommendation have not been indicated.
Bangalore.	10	10	..		
Kolar.	34	34	..		
Chitaldrug.	39	28	11		6 cases were dropped owing to the receipt of Government order relaxing transport restrictions. 3 cases were dropped as the quantities removed were small.
Hassan.	15	15	..	..	
Mysore.	30	15	13	2	Reason for refusing sanction being that the quantities exported ~~being~~ were small.
Total.	214	148	49	17	